EXHIBIT 6-E

SAMPLE APPRAISAL REPORT REVIEW FORM

Project Name:	HOME Project Number:
Name of Appraisers:	1
	2
Book No	Parcel No
	Project Address:
	City:
	State: Montana Zip:
Owner of Record:	
Type of Appraisals:	☐ Fee Simple ☐ Easement ☐ Severance or Partial Take
Property Type:	Zoning: Restrictions:
Purpose of Appraisal	☐ Market Value Estimate ☐ Other:
Date of Appraisal(s):	(1) (2)
Are the Appraisals Sig	gned and Dated?
* Was the owner or a the property inspect	designated representative invited to accompany the appraisers on ion? \square No ¹ \square Yes
Have the appraisers of	complied with the appraisal contract?
Comments:	

 $^{^{\}mathrm{1}}$ * UNLESS THIS HAS BEEN DONE, THE APPRAISAL IS UNACCEPTABLE!

I.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	<u>App</u>	<u>raise</u>	<u>r #1</u>	<u>App</u>	Appraiser #2			
		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>		
A.	City Analysis Acceptable?								
B.	Neighborhood Analysis Acceptable? (Location, Percentage Buildup, Value Range Stated, Present and Proposed Land Uses, Trends, Occupancy, Employment, Distances to Shopping, Recreation, Fire and Police, Protection)								
C.	Acceptable Site Description								
D.	Acceptable Improvements Description								
E.	Acceptable Tax Information								
F.	Acceptable Highest and Best Use Analysis								
II.	APPRAISAL PROCESS		<u>raise</u> <u>No</u>		Apr Yes	raise <u>No</u>	<u>r #2</u> <u>NA</u>		
A.	DIRECT SALES COMPARISON APPROACH:								
1.	Is comparable sales data complete? (sales date, grantor, grantee, comparable address, deed book and page no., sales price, complete description)								
2.	Is the adjustment analysis satisfactory?								
3.	Did the appraiser explain the reason for each adjustment and are these reasonable?								
4.	Is the market value reconciled correctly? (i.e., no averaging was done and the explanation is satisfactory)								
B.	COST APPROACH:								
1.	Did the appraiser provide adequate support for the land cost estimate?								
2.	Did the appraiser provide adequate support for the building cost estimate?								
3.	Did the appraiser use an acceptable method of estimating accrued depreciation?								
4.	Were all forms of depreciation supported?								
5.	Is the Cost Approach Summary acceptable?								

deficiencies not listed above):
Appraiser #1:
Appraiser #2:
Reviewer's Recommendation of Fair Market Value \$
Explain the basis for the reviewer's recommendation of Fair Market Value (If there are 2 or more appraisals for each parcel, the reviewer should give a comparative analysis of each appraisal report, and the reasoning for accepting the appraised value of one of the appraisal reports.)
I hereby certify that I have inspected the subject property and the appraiser's comparable sales; that I have no interest in the property, either past, present, or contemplated; that except as noted, the appraisals are complete and technically acceptable; and that the appraisals meet the requirements of the Department of Housing and Urban Development and those outlined in the appraisers' contracts.
It is recommended that the appraiser's fee of \$
☐ Be Paid ☐ Not be paid for the following reasons:

List corrections required to make appraisal reports adequate and acceptable (including

☐ The reviewer re parcel.	ecommends tha	t the	locality	hire	another	appraiser	to	appraise	the
Б.									
Date:				Reviewer					